U.S. Dept of State
Washington - D.C.

AUG 25 1969
ADMINISTRATIVE
REGULATIONS SECTION

Dear Sir;

In July - 1968 - I was extradited from London England to the state of Tennessee.
Since that time attornys representing me - and I - have made various attempts to procure the transcript of the extradition proceeding in the London court.
The court itself - Bow St. Court, - wrote me that it turned over all transcript papers to the "U.S. State Dept." and that only they, and the English attorny representing me in London, Mr. Michel Eugene have a true copy.
Mr. Eugene always gives evasive ansers when defense consul ask him for his copy, or my copy, of the proceeding.
It is my belief, and attornys representing me that Mr. Eugene was appointed to defend me at the instigation of the last administration, and was acting in their interest, not mine.
Besides his failure to turn over the transcript to defense, his other actions sustain this view: as follows -
one. He was not chosen to represent me

File
MAS/[illegible]

1. 95-100-473

in the routine manner - as the English Court Clerk has so wrote me - specifically, several other law companies were passed over on the rotation list - which English courts follow - to get to his company, the Michel Dresden co.

Two: His, Mr. Eugene's questions seemed more directed to the crime - which I reminded him - than to the extradition proceeding.
Also the usual defensive action in a matter of this type of "having me sign a document, praising his performance"!

Therefore my reason for writing your office is; Would your office make available to the defense a true copy of the transcript, including all information, such as documents, pictures, ect, ect. that was originally sent to London.

The defense attorneys will pay what ever fee this may incur.

In the absence of this transcript Defendant would have to relie on notes he took after the proceedings, plus memory - he viewed transcript several times - or procure the document from one of the news agencys Which monitored the proceedings.

Also, I think - under U.S. statue - where court doesn't preserve transcript the defendants personal transcript of proceedings are considered official. But defendant doesn't think this should be nessary when their are two official transcripts in existence.

Thanks for any consideration on this matter, and if no satisfaction can be given would your office post letter stating so.

Sincerely:

James Earl Ray

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME,
This the 13th DAY OF AUGUST, 1969
NOTARY PUBLIC - AT Large - Texas

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 4-26-1972